

 $\frac{1}{1}$ <br> $\frac{1}{1}$ 

G1.3 CMZ

Farside Bart Lane

 $\sim -5^{\circ}$ 

# **Direct Evidence of a Collision: Position-Velocity Diagram**

• The Velocity Bridge is direct evidence of a cloud-cloud collision.



[1] Department of Astronomy, University of Florida; [2] National Radio Astronomy Observatory; [4] Steward Observatory, University of Arizona; [3] New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology; [5] Universität Heidelberg, Zentrum für Astronomie

#### **Temperature**

- The kinetic temperature was found using ratios of  $H_2CO$  ( $3_{21}$ - $2_{20}$ ) to H2CO  $(3<sub>03</sub> - 2<sub>02</sub>)$ , which were then put into a quadratic fit of the temperature-ratio relation found in Ginsburg et al. 2016.
- To find the temperature of the two clouds separately, integrated intensity maps were taken individually for the two clouds from 0 to 75 km s-1 and from 75 to 200 km s-1, and masked with a 5 sigma noise mask.
- The average temperature of the High-Velocity Cloud is **63 K**.
- The average temperature of the Low-Velocity Cloud is **60 K.**
- What is heating the cloud? There are no HII regions associated with G5.

- We created a Spectral Energy Distribution (SED) from Herschel, ATLASGAL, and BGPS data.
- Fitting the dust SED resulted in a column density of  $0.4 \times 10^{22}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>.
- We also estimated a column density of  $0.9 \times 10^{22}$  cm<sup>-2</sup> using PPMAP measurements.

● We measured the column density of G5 by assuming local thermodynamic equilibrium conditions for CO and its isotopologues. We estimated the opacity of 12CO to more accurately estimate the column density. Results were column densities of  $0.5 \times 10^{22}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>.

# **Evidence of a Cloud-Cloud Collision from Gas Overshooting the Galactic Center**  $Savannah$   $Gramze<sup>[1]</sup>,$  Adam Ginsburg<sup>[1]</sup>, David Meier<sup>[2,3]</sup>, Juergen



Ott<sup>[2]</sup>, Yancy Shirley<sup>[4]</sup>, Mattia Sormani<sup>[5]</sup>, Brian E. Svoboda<sup>[2]</sup>

# **Column Density Estimate and X-factor Incongruity**

We measured the mass enclosed within one of the fields observed of G5. X-Factor Mass Estimate

• We measured the column density of G5 by measuring the <sup>12</sup>CO integrated intensity and using the CO-to-H<sub>2</sub> X-factor, resulting in a column density of  $6 \times 10^{22}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>.

### Dust Mass Estimate

### CO LTE Mass Estimate

- SiO (5-4) was observed as a potential shock tracer.
- $\bullet$  We do not think  $H<sub>2</sub>CO$  is as good of a shock tracer as SiO, so the green line plot of them above shows where SiO might be enhanced.
- The  $H_2CO (3_{21}-2_{20})$  was used with the other  $H<sub>2</sub>CO$  line to find the formaldehyde temperatures.
- The expected abundance of
- Non-Local Thermal Equilibrium modeling was done for SiO (5-4) using Despotic (Krumholz 2014).
- Each line on the legend is for a different abundance of SiO to  $H_2$ .
- Typical ISM abundances of SiO are  $<$ 10<sup>-8</sup>. Shock enhancement is required to produce abundances >10<sup>-7</sup>(Schilke et al. 1997). • The abundance of SiO is above ambient  $(10^{-11})$ , but we do not see enhancement upstream, downstream, or in the collision region itself. • SiO (5-4) may not be a good shock tracer, and in this case may do better at tracing dense gas.

### **Bar Dynamics and the Movement of Gas and Dust Around the Galactic Center**

- The Milky Way Galaxy is a barred spiral galaxy, with a central gravitational bar potential.
- Bar lanes bring material into the Central Molecular Zone (CMZ) in the Galactic Center.
- The material flowing down the bar lanes collides with the CMZ at locations such as G1.3.
- However, some of this material overshoots the CMZ and collides with the bar lane on the opposite side of the Galaxy.
- The site of this collision is G5.



## **Shocks**

# **The Galactic X-Factor Overestimates the Mass of G5**



We observe strong observational evidence of gas overshooting the CMZ to collide with the bar lane on the opposite side of the Galaxy. We observe that the gas is warmer at this site than in the Galactic disk, and a lack of star formation in the cloud implies that it is heated through a mechanism such as shocks through the cloud collision or tidal shear stress as the cloud closely orbits the Galactic Center. We observe that the <sup>12</sup>CO opacity of G5 is lower than optically thick, and that the standard X-Factor overestimates the amount of mass in G5 by an order of magnitude.





